

Shanit

Mapping of NFIQ-1 into NFIQ-2

Mapping of a couple of hundred thousand measurements

	20	40	60	80	100	
1	1.52%	9.06%	<mark>46.05%</mark>	<mark>43.16%</mark>	0.22%	100.00%
2	4.63%	<mark>18.96%</mark>	<mark>46.53%</mark>	<mark>29.69%</mark>	0.19%	100.00%
3	<mark>20.78%</mark>	<mark>35.71%</mark>	<mark>35.39%</mark>	8.11%	0.01%	100.00%
4	<mark>70.91%</mark>	<mark>23.25%</mark>	5.47%	0.36%	0.00%	100.00%
5	<mark>83.06%</mark>	13.42%	3.17%	0.36%	0.00%	100.00%

1. No one is perfect. Bin of 80-100 is relatively empty

- 2. NFIQ-1 = $\{1-2\}$ concentrated 35-80
- 3. NFIQ-1 = 3 "smeared" 0-60
- 4. NFIQ-1 = 4 below 40

5. NFIQ-1 = 5 below 20

Chart Title



Shanit

Mapping of NFIQ-1 into NFIQ-2

	20	40	60	80	100	
1	1.52%	9.06%	<mark>46.05%</mark>	<mark>43.16%</mark>	0.22%	100.00%
2	4.63%	<mark>18.96%</mark>	<mark>46.53%</mark>	<mark>29.69%</mark>	0.19%	100.00%
3	<mark>20.78%</mark>	<mark>35.71%</mark>	<mark>35.39%</mark>	8.11%	0.01%	100.00%
4	<mark>70.91%</mark>	<mark>23.25%</mark>	5.47%	0.36%	0.00%	100.00%
5	<mark>83.06%</mark>	13.42%	3.17%	0.36%	0.00%	100.00%

- 1. There is no "sharp" mapping between NFIQ-1 and NFIQ-2.
- 2. So, where should one set the threshold ?

NFIQ-2 histograms for for each NFIQ-1

40

60

80 100

0.00%

20



<u>Shanit</u>							
Mapping of NFIQ-1 into NFIQ-2							
		Cumu	lative be	low N	FIQ-2 s	score	
NFIQ-1	10	15	20	25	30	35	40
1	0.51%	0.95%	1.52%	2.44%	4.05%	6.46%	10.57%
2	1.68%	2.88%	4.63%	7.22%	10.91%	16.40%	23.59%
3	9.26%	14.61%	20.78%	28.14%	36.69%	46.38%	56.49%
4	49.61%	61.00%	70.71%	78.62%	85.14%	90.47%	94.16%
5	68.45%	76.80%	83.06%	87.72%	91.38%	94.09%	96.07%

- 1. If we set the NFIQ-2 threshold, say at 35 then:
- 2. 6.46% of NFIQ-1=1 would be rejected.
- 3. 16.40% of NFIQ-1=2 would be rejected.
- 4. 46.38% of FNIQ-1=3 would be rejected.



Shanit

Mapping of NFIQ-1 into NFIQ-2

Cumulative rejection at typical depository level

Cummulcative below NFIQ-w score normalized with typical NFIQ-1 mix

	Typical	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	35
1	51.00%	0.26%	0.48%	0.78%	1.24%	2.07%	3.29%	5.39%	6.46%
2	25.00%	0.42%	0.72%	1.16%	1.81%	2.73%	4.10%	5.90%	<u>16.40%</u>
3	20.00%	1.85%	2.92%	4.16%	5.63%	7.34%	9.28%	11.30%	46.38%
4	3.00%	1.49%	1.83%	2.12%	2.36%	2.55%	2.71%	2.82%	90.47%
5	1.00%	0.68%	0.77%	0.83%	0.88%	0.91%	0.94%	0.96%	94.09%

- 1. Regular planned depository (unlike latent) has strong accumulation of good data.
- 2. Thus, rejection by NFIQ-2 criteria should be normalized accordingly.



2. We agree with NFIQ-2.









Mapping of NFIQ-1 into NFIQ-2

Shanit

- We miss some guidance on recommended NFIQ-2 thresholds for certain depository sizes. As fingerprint accuracy would have a lower effect on smaller depositories and more effect on larger depositories.
- 2. We miss some guidance in case more than one fingerprint is used.



Mapping of NFIQ-1 into NFIQ-2

In summary, NFIQ-2 provides more stable results than NFIQ-1.

We could see some benefit in watching **both** MFIQ1 and NFIQ2 for making accept/reject (try to re-enroll) decisions at enrollment.

Shanit /	
Mapping of NF	IQ-1 into NFIQ-2
Special than workers on t	ks to all hard his important
project.	
<u>mickey@shanit.co.il</u> Shanit Ltd. CEO +972-54-758-6312	