

# WORKSHOP ON FACE IMAGE QUALITY Facial image quality related problems and applications



Evaldas Borcovas, UAB Neurotechnology, Biometrics Team Lead 17<sup>th</sup> November 2021



## Do we still need quality algorithms, when state of the art face recognition algorithm performs reasonably well?



## Mainly how we view face quality metric

Let's call it a filter which is used for keeping the biometrics system healthy. In particular keeping the biometrics database clean from problematic impressions which have a high probability of generating *false positives* or *true negatives*.

### WHAT PROBLEMS CAN WE HAVE?





### HARDWARE NOT SUITABLE FOR THE TASK

#### Too far



### **Too light**



#### Too dark











### UNDEREXPOSURE











### **FACE OCCLUSION**





### **FACE SHARPNESS**





### **FALSE DETECTION**





### ANY OTHER PROBLEMS WHERE TRUE MATCHING PERFORMANCE DROPS





www.neurotechnology.com

### **DIFFERENT SCENARIOS OF FACE CAPTURING**

**SUPERVISED** capturing with the **possibility of recapture**.

**UNSUPERVISED** capturing with the **possibility of recapture**.

**UNSUPERVISED** capturing with **no possibility of recapture**.

PRE COLLECTED DATA fixed set of data collected with or without any quality metrics applied.



#### SUPERVISED capturing with the possibility of recapture.

- Hardware is selected to provide the best possible quality.
- Face capture scene is prepared according to ICAO standard.
- All the quality and ICAO compliance features can be estimated automatically and recapture can be requested if needed by the supervisor.
- In this scenario face images usually are compliant with Portrait Quality (Reference Facial Images for MRTD).







#### **UNSUPERVISED** capturing with the **possibility of recapture**.

- Hardware is selected to provide the best possible quality.
- Face capture scene can be prepared.
- All the quality features can be estimated automatically and recapture can be requested if needed without the need of the supervisor.
- In this scenario face images usually are good quality as bad quality images are recaptured.

Our related product :
Ncheck Bio Attendance





#### **UNSUPERVISED** capturing with **no possibility of recapture**.

- Hardware can be selected to provide the best possible quality.
- Face capture scene can't be prepared. Faces can have different lighting conditions, pose, sharpness and etc.
- All the quality features can be estimated automatically and the quality algorithm can estimate which impressions of the faces are suitable for facial recognition.
- In this scenario face images usually are bad quality.

# Our related product SentiVeillance SDK





#### PRE COLLECTED DATA fixed set of data collected with or without any quality metrics applied

- Hardware cannot be selected as images cannot be recaptured.
- Face capture scene can't be prepared. As images have already been captured.
- All the quality features can be estimated automatically and the quality algorithm can estimate which impressions of the face are suitable for facial recognition.
- As quality metrics can't be applied during the collection of data, the database can have various quality images.

- Several Examples:
  - NIST FRVT evaluation
  - Re enrollment of old database







### FACE QUALITY PROBLEMS/SOLUTIONS DURING PANDEMIC

- One of the problems in face image is occlusion.
- Before the pandemic facial masks were very uncommon and could be marked as bad quality regarding facial occlusion.
- During the pandemic, facial masks became mandatory in public places.
- Facial quality algorithm had to adapt and make exceptions regarding facial occlusions and especially masks.





### CHANGES OF ACCURACY RELATED TO FACIAL MASK



Figure 1: Examples of developer evolution of accuracy on masked and unmasked datasets. See Figures 10, 5, and 11

NISTIR 8331 Draft Supplement, Part 6B: Face recognition accuracy with face masks using post-Covid-19 algorithms 2021/03/04



### **POSSIBLE QUALITY VARIATIONS**

Single value quality, as a predictor of true matching performance.

Multiple value quality, as several predictors of true matching performance.

Multiple value quality + ICAO checks.



### SHOULD WE HAVE ONE GENERAL FACE QUALITY ALGORITHM LIKE NFIQ?

#### • Pros

- Positive impact on recognition accuracy.
- Effective filtering of matching scenarios.
- Possibility to gather a range of facial and environment statistics.

#### • Cons

- Trade Off between complexity, accuracy and speed.
- Limited control over different scenarios.



### WHAT WOULD HELP FOR FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF FACE QUALITY/RECOGNITION?

Knowing global problems of facial recognition algorithms in general.

Estimating which part of the facial recognition algorithm should fix it.

**Evolving facial quality and recognition algorithms together.** 

### The key to progress is problem identification and elimination.



# **THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!**

### **Evaldas Borcovas**

(Biometrics team lead) UAB NEUROTECHNOLOGY, Laisves av. 125A, Vilnius LT-06118

http://www.neurotechnology.com