

DifFIQA: Face Image Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

Prof. Vitomir Štruc, PhD

Faculty of Electrical Engineering University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

vitomir.struc@fe.uni-lj.si

EAB Face Image Quality Workshop





eab

• Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)



- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - Key use case in Face Recognition





- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - Key use case in Face Recognition







- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - Key use case in Face Recognition







- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - Key use case in Face Recognition







- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - Key use case in Face Recognition



• Reject sample, use quality for recognition, etc.



- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - ISO/IEC 29794-1 differentiates between three quality aspects:



- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - ISO/IEC 29794-1 differentiates between three quality aspects:
 - **Character** attributes inherent to the biometric cahracteristic (faces) being acquired (e.g., skin texture, scars, etc.)



- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - ISO/IEC 29794-1 differentiates between three quality aspects:
 - **Character** attributes inherent to the biometric cahracteristic (faces) being acquired (e.g., skin texture, scars, etc.)
 - Fidelity reflects the degree of similarity with the source face, e.g., blurred or noisy faces have low fidelity



- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - ISO/IEC 29794-1 differentiates between three quality aspects:
 - **Character** attributes inherent to the biometric cahracteristic (faces) being acquired (e.g., skin texture, scars, etc.)
 - Fidelity reflects the degree of similarity with the source face, e.g., blurred or noisy faces have low fidelity
 - Utility the fitness of a sample for face recognition, e.g., confidence in the recognition result



- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - ISO/IEC 29794-1 differentiates between three quality aspects:
 - **Character** attributes inherent to the biometric cahracteristic (faces) being acquired (e.g., skin texture, scars, etc.)
 - Fidelity reflects the degree of similarity with the source face, e.g., blurred or noisy faces have low fidelity
 - Utility the fitness of a sample for face recognition, e.g., confidence in the recognition result



- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - ISO/IEC 29794-1 differentiates between three quality aspects ¹:
 - **Character** attributes inherent to the biometric characteristic (faces) being acquired (e.g., skin texture, scars, etc.)
 - Fidelity reflects the degree of similarity with the source face, e.g., blurred or noisy faces have low fidelity
 - Utility the fitness of a sample for face recognition, e.g., confidence in the recognition result



High perceptual quality

High face image quality



- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - ISO/IEC 29794-1 differentiates between three quality aspects ¹:
 - Character attributes inherent to the biometric characteristic (faces) being acquired (e.g., skin texture, scars, etc.)
 - Fidelity reflects the degree of similarity with the source face, e.g., blurred or noisy faces have low fidelity
 - Utility the fitness of a sample for face recognition, e.g., confidence in the recognition result



High perceptual quality

High face image quality



High perceptual quality

Low face image quality



- Face Image Quality Assessment (FIQA)
 - ISO/IEC 29794-1 differentiates between three quality aspects ¹:
 - Character attributes inherent to the biometric characteristic (faces) being acquired (e.g., skin texture, scars, etc.)
 - Fidelity reflects the degree of similarity with the source face, e.g., blurred or noisy faces have low fidelity
 - Utility the fitness of a sample for face recognition, e.g., confidence in the recognition result



High perceptual quality

High face image quality



High perceptual quality

Low face image quality



Low perceptual quality Low face image quality













Higher scores mean better quality



 q_2



Higher scores mean better quality

Existing solutions:











 q_2

 q_1 **Higher scores** mean better quality

>

Existing solutions:

Analytical:

Compute scores directly from the input sample.

Regression based:

Train regression model on extracted pseudoquality labels.

Model based:

Combine recognition and quality estimation tasks.

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

European Association for Biometrics European

• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models



• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:

Perturbation robustness

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:



Perturbation robustness

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:



Perturbation robustness

eab

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:



Perturbation robustness

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:



Perturbation robustness

eab

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:



Perturbation robustness

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:



Perturbation robustness

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:

20 FR embedding FR

Perturbation robustness

Reconstruction quality





EAB Face Image Quality Workshop

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:

Perturbation robustness







DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:

Forward Diffusion Process

Perturbation robustness







EAB Face Image Quality Workshop **DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models**



• Face Image Quality Assessment based on two concepts:





DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

- DifFIQA (high-level) overview:
 - Step 1: Forward and backward diffusion (i.e., perturbations and reconstructions)
 - Step 2: Quality score calculation



European Association for Biometrics Event Martine France

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Step 1: Forward and backward diffusion



European Association for Biometrics Examples there

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Step 1: Forward and backward diffusion





European Association for Biometrics Eeab Examination for the second seco

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Step 1: Forward and backward diffusion



Flip image



Forward diffusion – adds noise
European Association for Biometrics Emables Evanues for the second secon

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Step 1: Forward and backward diffusion



Flip image



Forward diffusion – adds noise

Backwa

Association for Biometrias b Lana Henny Istray

DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Step 2: Quality score calculation





1. Construct image embeddings:

- using any target FR model,
- for all three image pairs.

2. Calculate embedding similarity:

- using cosine similarity,
- comparing the input image embedding to all others.

3. Repeat process n-times and calculate average.



DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

• Problem: Diffusion is slow!



DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

- Problem: Diffusion is slow!
- DifFIQA(R) Knowledge distillation



DifFIQA: Quality Assessment Using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

- Problem: Diffusion is slow!
- DifFIQA(R) Knowledge distillation



Fine-tune a pretrained FR model using pseudo-quality labels extracted using DifFIQA.



Experimental Setup

• Standard evaluation approach:

- Error-Versus Discard Characteristic (EDC) curves
 - Show how the performance of FR models improves with increasing discard rates.

partial Area Under the Curve (pAUC)

- Lower value indicates better performance.
- Limit the discard ratio to (0.2) or (0.3).
- Benchmarks:
 - Adience, CALFW, CFP-FP, CPLFW, IJB-C, LFW, XQLFW
- FR models:
 - AdaFace, ArcFace, CurricularFace, CosFace
- FIQA methods:
 - FaceQnet, SDD-FIQA, PFE, PCNet, MagFace, LightQNet, SER-FIQ, FaceQAN, CR-FIQA, FaceQgen



Results (pAUC)

• Average results over all benchmarks and FR models

Results using discard ratio of (0.2).

FaceQnet [17]	SDD-FIQA [33]	PFE [39]	PCNet [44]	MagFace [31]	LightQNet [7]	SER-FIQ [40]	FaceQAN [3]	CR-FIQA [5]	FaceQgen [15]	DifFIQA	DifFIQA(R)
0.9458	0.8244	0.8197	0.8989	0.8253	0.8183	0.7985	0.7519	0.7567	0.8527	0.7591	0.7518
Results using discard ratio of (0.3).											
Results usi	ng discard ro	atio of (l	0.3).								
Results usi FaceQnet [17]	ng discard ro SDD-FIQA [33]	ntio of ((PFE [39]	0.3). PCNet [44]	MagFace [31]	LightQNet [7]	SER-FIQ [40]	FaceQAN [3]	CR-FIQA [5]	FaceQgen [15]	DifFIQA	DifFIQA(R)

EAB Face Image Quality Workshop

- Knowledge distilation improves the results of the base approach
- DifFIQA(R) outperforms SOTA methods.



Ablation Study

• Showcase performance changes when:

- (A1) Removing image flipping.
- (A2) Include only perturbations from the backward diffusion pass.
- (A3) Use a different number of timesteps for noise generation.

Model variant	LFW	CPLFW	CALFW	XQLFW $\mid \overline{pAUC}$
(A1): w/o Image Flipping	0.702	0.727	0.888	$0.535 \mid 0.713$
(A2): w/o Forward Pass	0.730	0.684	0.897	0.531 0.710
(A3): DifFIQA ($t = 20$)	0.657	0.694	0.945	0.628 0.731
DifFIQA (complete)	0.695	0.669	0.900	0.546 0.702

DifFIQA best overall performance on a varied set of benchmarks.



Run-time

• Hardware: Intel i9-10900KF CPU, 64 GB RAM in Nvidia 3090 GPU

FIQA model	Ours				
	DifFIQA	DifFIQA(R)			
Runtime $(\mu \pm \sigma)$	1074.62 ± 11.45	1.24 ± 0.36			

• Speed-up due to knowledge distilation is around 1000x



DifFIQA and DifFIQA(R) Comparison

Comparison of quality score distributions



DifFIQA and DifFIQA(R) Comparison

Comparison of quality score distributions

Visual comparison

EAB Face Image Quality Workshop

European Association for Biometrics





Conclusions

- DifFIQA: a new FIQA approach based on DDPMs
- DifFIQA(R): distilled version for fact prediction
- State-of-the-art performance across multiple datasets and face recognition models
- Outlook and future work
 - Distillation with lighter models
 - Distillation with score-optimization for fine-grained FIQA
 - Incroporating detector variability
 - FIQA for videos









Paper



Thank You!

ziga.babnik@fe.uni-lj.si, peter.peer@fri.uni-lj.si, vitomir.struc@fe.uni-lj.si Code



EDC curves

